

## APPRENTICE BILL KILLED

House Slaughtered It After Debate Yesterday Afternoon

TILDEN OF BARRE SPOKE

House Also Refused to Adopt Measure Which Is Practically Same as Old Exemption Trustee Process Measure.

State House, Jan. 22.

The House yesterday afternoon killed the bill which allows Vermonters the right to seize in Lake Champlain during certain seasons, refused to adopt an amendment to a bill which amounts virtually to the re-passing of the \$10 exemption trustee process bill; it killed the apprentice bill of Mr. Bloomer of West Rutland which was so strenuously opposed by the labor organizations; it ordered to a third reading the bill allowing a bounty on bobcats and did considerable more business. The Senate ordered to a third reading the automobile tax bill. The bill to raise the salaries of the members of the general assembly had not come into the Senate yesterday afternoon.

The Trustee Process Bill.

An attempt was made yesterday afternoon in the House to pass another bill to exempt wages to the extent of \$10 from trustee process by proposing an amendment to Senate 116. It will be remembered that the House passed a trustee process bill a long time ago, but the Senate killed it. Senate 116 is simply a bill to prevent unlawful attachment of wages by trustee process when the amount of the bill is less than \$10. It was explained how wages of working men were many times held up by lawyers simply to delay the wage-earner from getting his wages. It provides that when such action is taken willfully, the person doing the damage shall forfeit \$50. Mr. Barber of Brattleboro explained that he believed his amendment should be passed. He was not in favor of the passage of the bill, because it really did not mean anything, for a lawyer could bring a suit without trustee process for the collection of a bill of less than \$10, get judgment and work the suit of suit on top of the original bill to make it exceed \$10 and then collect by trustee process for the whole.

Mr. Leary of Burlington didn't believe in staying around to relieve the Senate of embarrassment. He said the House had acted on the trustee process bill once and he thought the bill was improperly taken up for discussion again. If the rules were worth anything, Mr. Davis thought the adoption of the amendment would be a slap at the Senate. Mr. Hitchcock suggested that most men changed their minds sometimes and perhaps the Senate desired to do so. Then the amendment was killed, also the bill. It was suggested that the Senate would send in a compromise trustee process bill that the House could act on later.

The Bobcat Bounty Bill.

The joint committee on game and fisheries reported H. 435, an act to provide a bounty on bobcats and hawks, favorably with an amendment cutting out the 50-cent bounty on hawks and leaving the bounty of bobcats at \$2. Mr. Howe of Bennington said the bobcat did a lot of damage to partridges, rabbits and especially young deer, and he thought there was merit in the bill. In reply to somebody who suggested that the state was paying out too much for the protection of its fish and game, he said on the contrary there was not a state in the union that paid out so little for protection of game. An effort was made to dismiss the bill, but it failed and the bill was ordered to a third reading by a rather emphatic vote.

The Seizing Bill Discussed.

The House took up 410, which allows seizing in Lake Champlain, Lake Memphremagog and Missisquoi Bay. The bill allows the fish and game commissioner to issue permits for seizing on the shores of Lake Champlain and Lake Memphremagog during October and November and in Missisquoi Bay north of the southern part of Alburgh from March 15 to May 1. Mr. Sowles of Alburgh told the old-timers of how the Canadians came down and took fish in the very eyes of the Vermonters, who were not permitted the same rights. He said they added insult to injury by taking the fish to the Alburgh station for shipment. It means a matter of \$15,000 a year to his town and many of the fishermen could not get work, for the Canadians would not hire them for fear of being obliged by their government to give up their fishing grounds.

Mr. Fish of Vergennes made a great speech against the passage of the bill, as he said he understood a treaty touching up the fishing question on the border would become operative July 1. He did not think it would be right to undo the long argument of Vermonters that seizing in the waters at certain seasons was wrong, and upon which argument Vermonters had depended. If the legislature passed this bill, it would abrogate their action of long years and their patience in waiting for a square deal.

Mr. Fletcher of Cavendish thought the Vermonters had been wronged and had been patient. He thought the passage of the bill could do no harm, and as Mr. Barber of Brattleboro pointed, it provided if the Canadian government shall prohibit fishing with seines or nets in the Canadian waters adjacent to the Vermont waters, then the act shall be operative. Mr. Howe of Bennington said that he believed that the allowing seizing would result in injury to the fishing people who had cottages on the lake. Mr. Boyce of Proctor hoped the bill would not pass and stated that he believed if Senator Proctor were alive he would be opposed to the measure. Mr. Bacon of Hartford said that he was in the legislature 15 years ago and the same bill was discussed then. He thought it had been up every legislature since and he believed it would be all right

## Peptiron Pills

to pass it and give the Vermont fishermen a chance. The bill was killed by a yeas and nay vote of 124 to 66.

The Apprentice Bill.

Mr. Bloomer of West Rutland, against which so many labor men spoke at the public hearing the other night, came into the House with an unfavorable report from the committee on immigration and industrial affairs. Mr. Bloomer thought that it was in the interest of every young man anxious to learn a trade in the state. He said that it appeared at the hearing the other night, at which members of the labor organization at Barre appeared, that they were short of apprentices in that city, so that it did not apply there; but he said that there were places where it did apply and that labor organizations did restrict or prohibit young men from becoming apprentices. Mr. Bloomer disclaimed personal authorship of the bill. Mr. Gilesther of Wardsboro was the only other member who spoke for the bill. He simply said that at the hearing there appeared many to protest against its passage and none to favor it, but its author, so that as a committee member he voted to report it adversely. He thought, however, there was some merit in it.

Mr. Webster of Woodbury, who has not said much during the session, arose to say that the members of the House remembered the strike in the granite industry at Barre and Montpelier some time ago, and that if this bill passed it would create a disturbance of longer duration than that.

Mr. Tilden of Barre City said he wouldn't attempt to say what would happen if the bill were passed, but that the Washington county jail would have to be enlarged. He was a member of a previous committee on apprentices at the present time and he predicted that he himself might be one of the occupants of the jail. He said there were eighteen labor organizations in Barre, and while he did not think they were always right on every question, he said that those very unions were doing much for these very apprentices. When he came to Barre a year ago, as an apprentice, he kept at an inferior job if he could perform the work. He was not given a chance. Now the unions compelled the manufacturer to use the young man in a proper manner, giving him a real chance to learn the trade and become a perfect workman within a certain stated time.

Mr. Miller of Bethel didn't think the relations between labor and capital should be tampered with. They appeared to be getting along very nicely now. Mr. Leary of Burlington was of the same opinion: employer and employee now understood and granted the rights of each other. Mr. Williams said neither the manufacturer nor the laboring man wanted any change.

The third reading of the bill was then refused by a large vote, although several voted for the measure.

The Automobile Bill.

The Senate went into the committee of the whole yesterday afternoon and listened to the explanation of Highway Commissioner C. W. Gates and Guy W. Bailey regarding certain features of H. 431, the automobile tax bill. Mr. Gates said that the revenue from the tax would not be distributed in the regular manner of the highway funds, but that the money would be sent to the state treasury and the automobile tax would be spent on the roads in that county. So if the towns felt they were losing certain taxable property, the fact that the money would come back to the county in which the places paying the tax were located would help some.

The report of the committee on mileage and debentures was adopted in the House on Thursday afternoon after several corrections in initials and amounts had been made. This is the list on which the state treasurer figures the mileage when he makes settlement with the legislators. Each member in addition to his \$3 a day receives 50 cents a mile. Ernest Leray of Searsburg holds the record for being the farthest distance from the capital, his mileage being set down at 228 miles. C. A. Perry of Readsboro, also Bennington county, is next in the list, being credited for 225 miles. E. D. Bishop of Stamford is 191 miles from home. The list of mileage was based on that of two years ago, but several corrections had to be made. Unless the representatives elected this year lived a few miles out of the village. The employees of the State House who live in and about Montpelier are credited with one mile.

FIVE COOPER CASE JURORS.

Judge Hurries the Examination of Talesmen.

Nashville, Tenn., Jan. 22.—When the trial of Colonel Duncan B. Cooper, his son, Robin Cooper, and former Sheriff John D. Sharpe, all charged with the murder of former United States Senator E. W. Carmack, opened yesterday, four jurors were in the box. After an hour's effort, the fifth juror was secured, J. M. Whitworth, one of the wealthiest farmers in the state. The readiness with which jurors have been found is a surprise. The rulings of Judge Hart had much to do with the rapidity with which the proceedings moved. He began by fixing nine tardy talesmen \$25 each. Next he took into his own hands the examination of the men who said that they had formed a conspiracy. Unless the prospective juror insisted that he could not render a fair verdict, even after hearing the testimony, Judge Hart held that he was a competent juror, and compelled either the state or the defense to accept him or exercise peremptory challenge.

At last twice during the examination of witnesses, it developed that some uniformed policemen had been canvassing prospective jurors, and one talesman swore that this policeman after questioning him carefully said: "You had better be careful how you serve up there."

FILES CURED IN 6 TO 14 DAYS

PAZO OINTMENT is guaranteed to cure any case of itching, skin, itching or pruritus. It is in 6 to 14 days or money refunded. 60c.

## ASKS NATION TO SAVE SELF

President Presents a Ringing Message To-day

ON CONSERVING RESOURCES

"Permanent Welfare of Our People Cannot Exist Save on Firm Foundation of Material Well Being," He Declared.

Washington, Jan. 22.—President Roosevelt sent to congress today a message transmitting the report of the national conservation commission. He prefaced the report with his own comment, stating in vigorous terms his conviction that immediate action is needed if the rightful heritage of posterity in natural resources is to be preserved.

The message says:

To the Senate and House of Representatives:

I transmit herewith a report of the national conservation commission, together with the accompanying papers. This report, which is the outgrowth of the conference of governors last May, was unanimously approved by the recent joint conference held in this city between the national conservation commission and governors of states, state conservation commissions, and conservation committees of great organizations of citizens. It is therefore in a peculiar sense representative of the whole nation and all its parts.

With the statements and conclusions of this report I heartily concur, and I commend it to the thoughtful consideration both of the congress and of our people generally. It is one of the most fundamentally important documents ever laid before the American people. It contains the first inventory of its natural resources ever made by any nation. In condensed form it presents a statement of our available capital in natural resources, which are the means of progress, and calls attention to the essential conditions upon which the perpetuity, safety and welfare of this nation now rest and must always continue to rest. It deserves and should have the widest possible distribution among the people.

The facts set forth in this report constitute an imperative call to action. The situation they disclose demands that we neglecting for a time, if need be, smaller and less vital questions, shall concentrate an effective part of our attention upon the great material foundations of national existence, progress and prosperity.

Immediate Action Needed.

This first inventory of natural resources prepared by the national conservation commission is undoubtedly the beginning of a series which will be indispensable for dealing intelligently with what we have. It supplies as close an approximation to the actual facts as it was possible to prepare with the knowledge and time available. The progress of our knowledge of this country will continually lead to more accurate information and better use of the sources of national strength. But we cannot defer action until complete accuracy in the estimates can be reached, because before that time many of our resources will be practically gone. It is not necessary that this inventory should be exact in every minute detail. It is essential that it should correctly describe the general situation and that the present inventory does. As it stands it is an irrefutable proof that the conservation of our resources is the fundamental question before this nation and that our first and greatest task is to set our house in order and begin to live within our means.

The first of all considerations is the permanent welfare of our people, and true moral welfare, the highest form of welfare, cannot permanently exist save on a firm and lasting foundation of material well being. In this respect our situation is far from satisfactory. After every possible allowance has been made and when every hopeful indication has been given its full weight the facts still give reason for grave concern. It would be unworthy of our history and our intelligence and disastrous to our future to shut our eyes to these facts or attempt to laugh them out of court. The people should and will rightly demand that the great fundamental questions shall be given attention by their representatives. I do not advise hasty or ill considered action on disputed points, but I do urge, where the facts are known, where the public interest is clear, that neither indifference nor inertia nor adverse private interests shall be allowed to stand in the way of the public good.

Our Responsibility for the Future.

The great basic facts are already well known. We know that our population is now adding about one-fifth to its numbers in ten years and that by the middle of the present century perhaps 150,000,000 Americans and by its end very many millions more must be fed and clothed from the products of our soil. With the steady growth in population and the still more rapid increase in consumption our people will hereafter make greater and not less demands per capita upon all the natural resources for their livelihood, comfort and convenience. It is high time to realize that our responsibility to the coming millions is like that of parents to their children and that in wasting our resources we are wronging our descendants.

We know now that our rivers can and should be made to serve our people effectively in transportation, but

that the vast expenditures for our waterways have not resulted in maintaining, much less in promoting, inland navigation. Therefore let us take immediate steps to ascertain the reasons and to prepare and adopt a comprehensive plan for inland waterway navigation that will result in giving the people the benefits for which they have paid, but which they have not yet received. We know now that our forests are fast disappearing, that less than one-fifth of them are being conserved and that no good purpose can be met by failing to provide the relatively small sums needed for the protection, improvement and of all forests still owned by the government and to enact laws to check the wasteful destruction of the forests in private hands. There are differences of opinion as to many public questions, but the American people stand nearly as a unit for waterway development and for forest protection.

We know now that our mineral resources, once exhausted, are gone forever and that the needless waste of them costs us hundreds of human lives and nearly \$300,000,000 a year. Therefore let us undertake without delay the investigations necessary before our people will be in position through state action or otherwise to put an end to this huge loss and waste and conserve both our mineral resources and the lives of the men who take them from the earth.

I desire to make grateful acknowledgment to the men both in and out of the government service who have prepared the first inventory of our natural resources. They have made it possible for this nation to take a great step forward. Their work is helping us to see that the greatest questions before us are not partisan questions, but questions upon which men of all parties and all shades of opinion may be united for the common good. Among such questions, on the material side, the conservation of natural resources stands first. It is the bottom round of the ladder on our upward progress toward a condition in which the nation as a whole and its citizens as individuals will set national efficiency and the public welfare before personal profit.

Industrial Democracy in Danger.

The policy of conservation is perhaps the most typical example of the general policies which this government has made peculiarly its own during the opening years of the present century. The function of our government is to insure to all its citizens now and hereafter their rights to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. If we of this generation destroy the resources from which our children would otherwise derive their livelihood we reduce the capacity of our land to support a population and so either degrade the standard of living or deprive the coming generations of their right to life on this continent. If we allow great industrial organizations to exercise unregulated control of the means of production and the necessities of life we deprive the Americans of today and of the future of industrial liberty, a right no less precious and vital than political freedom. Industrial liberty was a fruit of political liberty and in turn has become one of its chief supports, and exactly as we stand for political democracy so we must stand for industrial democracy.

The rights to life and liberty are fundamental, and like other fundamental necessities, when once acquired they are little dwelt upon. The right to the pursuit of happiness is the right whose presence or absence is most likely to be felt in daily life. In whatever it has accomplished or failed to accomplish the administration which is just drawing to a close has at least been clearly the fundamental need of freedom of opportunity for every citizen. We have realized that the right of every man to live his own life, provide for his family and endeavor, according to his abilities, to secure for himself and for them a fair share of the good things of existence should be subject to one limitation and to no other. The freedom of the individual should be limited only by the present and future rights, interests and needs of the other individuals who make up the community. We should do all in our power to develop and protect individual liberty, individual initiative, but subject always to the need of preserving and promoting the general good. When necessary the private right must yield, under due process of law and with proper compensation, to the welfare of the commonwealth. The man who serves the community greatly should be greatly rewarded by the community. As there is great inequality of service, so there must be great inequality of reward, but no man and no set of men should be allowed to play the game of competition with loaded dice.

All this is simply good common sense. The underlying principle of conservation has been described as the application of common sense to common problems for the common good. If the description is correct, then conservation is the great fundamental basis for national efficiency. In this stage of the world's history to be fearless, to be just and to be efficient are the three great requirements of national life.

Administration's Aims Defended.

This administration has achieved some things. It has sought, but has not been able, to achieve others. It has done more or attempted less than the single, consistent effort to secure and enlarge the rights and opportunities of the men and women of the United States. We are trying to conserve what is good in our social system, and we are striving toward this end when we endeavor to do away with what is bad. Success may be made too hard for some if it is made too easy for others. The rewards of common industry and thrift may be too small if the rewards for other and on the whole less valuable qualities are made too large, and especially if the rewards for qualities which are really, from the public standpoint, undesirable are permitted to become too large.

The unbroken existence of monop-

oly is incompatible with equality of opportunity. The reason for the exercise of government control over great monopolies is to equalize opportunity. We are fighting against privilege. It was made unlawful for corporations to contribute money for election expenses in order to bridge the power of special privilege at the polls. Railroad rate control is an attempt to secure an equality of opportunity for all men affected by rail transportation, and that means all of us. The great anthracite coal strike was settled and the pressing danger of a coal famine averted because we recognized that the control of a public necessity involves a duty to the people and that public intervention in the affairs of a public service corporation is neither to be resented as usurpation nor permitted as a privilege by the corporations, but, on the contrary, to be accepted as a duty and exercised as a right by the government in the interest of all the people. The efficiency of the army and the navy has been increased so that our people may follow in peace the great work of making a living in a better place for Americans to live in, and our navy was sent round the world for the same ultimate purpose. All the acts taken by the government during the last seven years and all the policies now being pursued by the government fit in as parts of a consistent whole.

Measures For Country's Good.

Our public land policy has for its aim the use of the public land so that it will promote local development by the settlement of homesteaders. The policy we champion is to serve all the people legitimately and openly, instead of permitting the lands to be converted, illegitimately and under cover, to the private benefit of a few. Our forest policy was established so that we might use the public forests for the permanent public good, instead of merely for temporary private gain. The reclamation act, under which the desert parts of the public domain are converted to higher uses for the general benefit, was passed so that more Americans might have homes on the land.

These policies were enacted into law and have justified their enactment. Others have failed so far to reach the point of action. Among such is the attempt to secure public control of the open range and thus to convert its benefits to the use of the small man, who is the homemaker, instead of allowing it to be controlled by a few great cattle and sheep owners. The enactment of a pure food law was a recognition of the fact that the public welfare outweighs the right to private gain and that no man may poison the people for his private profit. The employers' liability bill recognized the controlling fact that, while the employer usually has at stake no more than his profit, the stake of the employee is a living for himself and his family.

For the Benefit of the People.

We are building the Panama canal, and this means that we are engaged in the giant engineering feat of all time. We are striving to add in all ways to the habitability and beauty of our country. We are striving to hold in the public hands the remaining supply of unappropriated coal for the protection and benefit of all the people. We have taken the first steps toward the conservation of our natural resources and the betterment of our life and the improvement of our waterways. We stand for the right of every child to a childhood free from grinding toil and to an education, for every citizen, for prudent foresight in public matters and for fair play in every relation of our national and economic life. In international matters we apply a system of diplomacy which puts the obligations of international morality on a level with those that govern the actions of an honest gentleman in dealing with his fellow men. Within our own border we stand for truth and honesty in public and in private life, and we stand sternly against wrongdoers of every grade.

The obligations and not the rights of citizenship increase in proportion to the increase of a man's wealth or power. The time is coming when a man will be judged not by what he has succeeded in getting for himself from the common store, but by how well he has done his duty as a citizen and by what the ordinary citizen has gained in freedom of opportunity because of his service for the common good. The highest value we know is that of the individual citizen, and the highest justice is to give him fair play in the effort to realize the best there is in him.

Common Sense Needed.

The tasks this nation has to do are great tasks. They can only be done at all by our citizens acting together, and they can be done best of all by the direct and simple application of homely common sense. The national conservation commission wisely confined its report to the statement of facts and principles, leaving the executive to recommend the specific steps to which these facts and principles inevitably lead. Accordingly I call your attention to some of the larger features of the situation disclosed by the report and to the action thereby clearly demanded for the general good.

Waterways.

Within recent months it has been recognized and demanded by the people, through many thousand delegates from all states assembled in convention in different sections of the country, that the waterways should and must be improved promptly and effectively as a means of maintaining national prosperity. The first requisite for waterway improvement is the control of the waters in such manner as to reduce floods and regulate the regimen of the navigable rivers. The second requisite is development of terminals and connections in such manner as to regulate commerce.

Accordingly I urge that the broad plan for the development of our waterways, recommended by the inland waterways commission, be put in effect without delay. It provides for a comprehensive system of waterway improvement, extending to all the uses of

the waters and benefits to be derived from their control, including navigation, the development of power, the extension of irrigation, the drainage of swamp and overflow lands, the prevention of soil wash and the purification of streams for water supply. It proposes to carry out the work by coordinating agencies in the federal departments through the medium of an administrative commission or board, acting in co-operation with the states and other organizations and individual citizens.

The work of waterway development should be undertaken without delay. Meritorious projects in known conformity with the general outlines of any comprehensive plan should proceed at once. The cost of the whole work should be met by direct appropriation if possible, but if necessary by the issue of bonds in small denominations.

It is especially important that the development of water power should be guarded with the utmost care both by the national government and by the states in order to protect the people against the upgrowth of monopoly and to insure to them a fair share in the benefits which will follow the development of this great asset, which belongs to the people and should be controlled by them.

Forests.

I urge that provision be made for both protection and more rapid development of the national forests. Otherwise, either the increasing use of these forests by the people must be checked or their protection against fire must be dangerously weakened. If we compare the actual fire damage on similar areas on private and national forest lands during the past year, the government fire patrol saved commercial timber worth as much as the total cost of caring for all national forests at the present rate for about ten years.

I especially commend to the congress the facts presented by the commission as to the relation between forests and stream flow in its bearing upon the importance of the forest lands in national ownership. Without an understanding of this intimate relation the conservation of both these natural resources must largely fail.

The time has fully arrived for recognizing in the law the responsibility to the community, the state and the nation which rests upon the private owners of private lands. The ownership of forest land is a public trust. The man who would so handle his forest as to cause erosion and to injure stream flow must be not only educated, but he must be controlled.

The report of the national conservation commission says:

Forests in private ownership cannot be conserved unless they are protected from fire. We need good fire laws, well enforced. Fire control is impossible without an adequate force of men whose sole duty is fire patrol during the dangerous season.

I hold as first among the tasks before the states and the nation in their respective shares in forest conservation the organization of efficient fire patrols and the enactment of good fire laws on the part of the states.

The report says further:

Present tax laws prevent reforestation of cut over land and the perpetuation of existing forests by use. An annual tax upon the land itself, exclusive of the timber, and a tax upon the timber when cut is well adapted to actual conditions of forest investment and is practicable and certain. It is far better that forest land should pay a moderate tax permanently than that it should pay an excessive revenue temporarily and then cease to yield at all.

Second only in importance to good fire laws well enforced is the enactment of tax laws which will permit the perpetuation of existing forests by use.

Lands.

With our increasing population the time is not far distant when the problem of supplying our people with food will become pressing. The possible additions to our arable area are not great, and it will become necessary to obtain much larger crops from the land, as is now done in more densely settled countries. To do this we need better farm practice and better strains of wheat, corn and other crop plants, with a reduction in losses from soil erosion and from insects, animals and other enemies of agriculture. The United States department of agriculture is doing excellent work in these directions, and it should be liberally supported.

The remaining public lands should be classified and the arable lands disposed of to homesteaders. In their interest the timber and stone act and the commutation clause of the homestead act should be repealed, and the desert land law should be modified in accordance with the recommendations of the public lands commission.

The use of the public grazing lands should be regulated in such ways as to improve and conserve their value. Rights to the surface of the public land should be separated from rights to forests upon it and to minerals beneath it, and these should be subject to separate disposal.

The coal, oil, gas and phosphate rights still remaining with the government should be withdrawn from entry and leased under conditions favorable for economic development.

Minerals.

The accompanying reports show that the consumption of nearly all of our mineral products is increasing more rapidly than our population. Our mineral waste is about one-sixth of our product, or nearly \$100,000,000 for each working day in the year. The loss of structural materials through fire is about another million a day. The loss of life in the mines is appalling. The larger part of these losses of life and property can be avoided.

Our mineral resources are limited in quantity and cannot be increased or reproduced. With the rapidly increasing rate of consumption the supply will be exhausted while yet the nation is in its infancy unless better methods are devised or substitutes are found. Further investigation is urgently needed in order to improve methods and to develop and apply substitutes.

It is of the utmost importance that a

bureau of mines be established in accordance with the pending bill to reduce the loss of life in mines and the waste of mineral resources and to investigate the methods and substitutes for prolonging the duration of our mineral supplies. Both the need and the public demand for such a bureau are rapidly becoming more urgent. It should co-operate with the states in supplying data to serve as a basis for state mine regulations. The establishment of this bureau will mean merely the transfer from other bureaus of work which it is agreed should be transferred and slightly enlarged and reorganized for these purposes.

Conclusions.

The joint conference already mentioned adopted two resolutions to which I call your special attention. The first was intended to promote co-operation between the states and the nation upon all of the great questions here discussed. It is as follows: Resolved, That a joint committee be appointed by the congress, to consist of six members of state conservation commissions and three members of the national conservation commission, whose duty it shall be to prepare and present to the state and national commissions and through them to the governors and the president a plan for united action by all organizations concerned with the conservation of natural resources. (On motion of Governor Noel of Mississippi the chairman and secretary of the conference were added to and constituted a part of this committee.)

The second resolution of the joint conference to which I refer calls upon the congress to provide the means for such co-operation. The principle of the community of interest among all our people in the great natural resources runs through the report of the national conservation commission, and the proceedings of the joint conference. These resources, which form the common basis of our welfare, are to be wisely developed, rightly used and prudently conserved only by the common action of all the people, acting through their representatives in state and nation; hence the fundamental necessity for co-operation. Without it we shall accomplish but little, and that little badly. The resolution follows:

We also especially urge on the congress of the United States the high desirability of maintaining a national commission on the conservation of the resources of the country, empowered to co-operate with state commissions to the end that every sovereign commonwealth and every section of the country may attain the high degree of prosperity and the sureness of perpetuity naturally arising in the abundant resources and the vigor, intelligence and patriotism of our people.

In this recommendation I most heartily concur, and I urge that an appropriation of at least \$50,000 be made to cover the expenses of the national conservation commission for necessary rent, assistance and traveling expenses. This is a very small sum. I know of no other way in which the appropriation of so small a sum would result in so large a benefit to the whole nation.

THEODORE ROOSEVELT.

The White House, Jan. 22, 1900.

## A FLESH-FORMING FOOD

When you buy a package of Samos, the great flesh-forming food, weigh yourself and see how much you gain in the first week's use. D. F. Davis will tell you of many of his customers who a month or so ago were thin, pale and haggard, but who are now, through the use of Samos, plump, strong and happy, with perfect health and attractive flesh. He has seen so many instances of the wonderful power of Samos to restore the weak and to give strength and health, and to make good natural flesh, that he gives his personal guarantee with every package of Samos he sells to refund the money if it does not prove satisfactory.

Samos is a pure preparation, guaranteed under the pure food law by serial number 4,655, and can be used with absolute confidence by the most delicate. It gives remarkable results with children who are weak and run down and without appetite. It builds up the thin, pale, pretty girl and woman to perfect plumpness and health. It is the only flesh-forming food of its kind and in addition is strengthening every organ of the body, restoring strength and health to the whole system.

Sent prepaid on receipt of price, 50c.

## POST CARDS

We have the largest and most complete and varied line of Post Cards in the city.

They range in subjects from local views of the city and state, to scenes of national interest to memento cards and to the better class of comic ones.

Birthday cards and apt special holiday cards on display.

Post Cards are a faithful friend, getter and keeper. A little remembrance worth the name.

Prices from two cards for 5c each and all in-between figures up to 50c and

O. J. DODGE, The Jeweler.

200 Main St. With Ideal Book Store.

## FOR SALE

My property at No. 15 Merchant street, also a carriage with rubber tires, good as new. New single harness, one old harness, set of double harness, folding bed, roll top desk and bookcase combined, refrigerator, bookcase and couch.

All accounts must be settled by March 1st, 1900. After that date all accounts will be turned over to my attorney to collect.

J. E. McSweeney, M. D.

701, 130, 25 Merchant St., Barre, Vt.